

**Development Management  
Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure  
Aberdeen City Council  
Business Hub 4, Marischal College  
ABERDEEN AB10 1AB**

25th September 2016

Dear Sir,

**Application No. 161284/DPP – 6 Cheyne Road, Aberdeen**

I wish to bring attention to the decision of the Local Review Body, dated 18.12.2014, which was to refuse a previous application (140936 in 2014) for this site. I feel that the decision at that time, as it applies to the creation of an additional building on the curtilage, still applies fully to the current proposal.

In respect of the current application (161284), I wish to offer no objection to the kitchen extension element, which matches similar extensions on neighbouring properties.

In respect to the new-build element of the proposal, I wish to lodge objection on the following grounds: -

1. The proposed curtilage split is alien to the character, pattern and density of development in the surrounding area.
2. The proposed structure cannot be said to make a positive contribution to the setting and the surrounding Conservation Area.
3. In view of the existing structures and front and back driveways, the proposed building footprint represents overdevelopment of the site and a significant and unacceptable reduction in the garden area of what is a domestic dwelling.
4. The proposed development would require the removal of a mature tree, due to its proximity to the foundation and the inevitable and unavoidable root damage which would be caused by the construction.

The purpose of the proposed structure as a garage has to be questioned due to the existing garage (App. No. 091335/2009) only having been completed very recently and the difficulty which would be experienced by any vehicle trying to access the new structure, due to the limited width between the garage door and the boundary wall. Whilst there is no written evidence, there is anecdotal suggestion that the building would be used as a gym, something which would lead to undesirable traffic movement and increased parking on Harrow Road.

I trust that the Committee in consideration of these objections and the previous decision of the Local Review Body will, by refusing this application, preserve the character of the the area and support those who will be affected by the development.

Yours sincerely,

George Wood  
2 Harrow Road  
ABERDEEN  
AB24 1UN

